

1592 Geer Highway Travelers Rest. SC 29690

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 644 Students

 Principal
 Heather Hester
 864-355-6000

 Superintendent
 Mr. Burke Royster
 864-355-3100

 Board Chair
 Mr. Roger Meek
 864-233-8587

2012 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2012 Average Average 2011 Average Average 2010 Average Average 2009 Average Average

At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Average

2008

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located

97.2%

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk				
32	44	35	1	0				

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms					
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.				
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.				
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.				

School Profile

School Folile	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=644)				ı
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	2.7%	Up from 1.3%	1.1%	1.0%
Attendance rate	95.7%	Down from 96.2%	96.4%	96.6%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.3%	Up from 0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=38)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	60.5%	Down from 63.4%	62.1%	63.0%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	92.9%	Down from 93.9%	89.4%	88.7%
Teacher attendance rate	94.3%	Up from 92.6%	95.3%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$47,390	Down 1.7%	\$47,380	\$47,210
Professional development days/teacher	10.1 days	Down from 10.2 days	11.3 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	12.0	Up from 11.0	5.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	23.9 to 1	Up from 22.3 to 1	19.9 to 1	20.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.9%	Down from 90.4%	90.3%	90.5%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.3%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$6,012	Down 7.1%	\$6,859	\$7,247
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	66.7%	Down from 69.3%	68.0%	68.2%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	66.6%	Down from 68.4%	65.9%	65.7%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Throughout the 2011-2012 school year, Heritage continued its pursuit of excellence. Our committed staff continues to provide outstanding, quality learning and a loving environment. We keep our focus on moving students to higher levels of learning and self-regulation. As part of our plan for growth and improvement, Heritage continues to implement the International Baccalaureate (IB) Primary Years Program. The faculty worked hard this year to align our Program of Inquiry with both the SC State Academic Standards and the Common Core Standards. We collaborated with other upstate IB programs to provide professional development for our teachers in IB standards and practices. Through guided inquiry, students utilize problem solving strategies and meet high academic expectations as they become culturally aware and world ready. The IB Profile and Attitudes are incorporated throughout the curriculum. This helps our students excel in the affective domain. Heritage is also committed to arts integration and uses professional development experience to train teachers in our building on how to maximize instruction through arts integration. We continue our strong partnership with North Greenville University, Furman University, Clemson University and University of South Carolina-Upstate to promote quality teaching and learning through our collaboration. Heritage will continue to address the academic needs of all students. Please note our goals for improvement as we continue to provide the best possible learning environment for children, 1. Raise the academic challenge and performance of each student. 2. Heritage students will be challenged through inquiry-based experiences. 3. Heritage teachers will be trained in available technology software and hardware. 4. Develop and maintain strong, meaningful partnerships with parents. 5. Students, parents, and staff will share the responsibility for improving student learning and behavior. Respect, responsibility, and integrity will be evident.

Martha Kinard, Principal Tim Snyder, School Improvement Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	39	87	44			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	87.2%	95.5%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	90.5%	97.7%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	97.4%	91.9%	88.6%			

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	86.0	
Overall Grade Conversion	В	

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	A Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.	
80-89.9 B Performance exceeds the state's expectations.		
70-79.9 C Performance meets the state's expectations.		
60-69.9 D Performance does not meet the state's expectations.		Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

I lavitana	Flementan	Cabaal	aabaal b	 daaiaaatad	

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
\checkmark	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance					
	Our District	State			
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.1%	2.6%			
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.2%	5.1%			

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	95.7%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Heritage Elementary School 11/07/12-2301107						
Performance By Group						
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
		Grac	des 3-5			
All Students	660.5	660.8	623.7	625.8	100.0	100.0
Male	652.9	659.7	620.9	626.8	100.0	100.0
Female	668.0	661.9	626.8	624.8	100.0	100.0
White	663.7	663.6	625.7	627.5	100.0	100.0
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	613.8	598.2	580.8	588.1	100.0	100.0
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	649.4	644.4	612.7	616.1	100.0	100.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	630.0	630.0	630.0	630.0	95.0	95.0

Horne	ago Licitionia	ary Octioor				11/01	112 200 1101	
PASS Performance By Grade Level								
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary	
			Englisl	n/Language A	irts			
	3	96	100	23.9	28.3	47.8	76.1	
_	4	89	100	18.2	36.4	45.5	81.8	
Ì		98	100	21.9	53.1	25	78.1	
2011	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	3	111	100	25.2	15.5	59.2	74.8	
2	4	94	100	17.4	43	39.5	82.6	
2012	5	99	100	35.6	32.2	32.2	64.4	
7	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
			M	lathematics				
	3	96	100	29.3	30.4	40.2	70.7	
_	4	89	100	18.2	33	48.9	81.8	
2011	5	98	100	25	49	26	75	
2(6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	3	111	100	27.2	21.4	51.5	72.8	
2012	4	94	100	12.8	37.2	50	87.2	
9	5 6	99	100	21.1	46.7	32.2	78.9	
2		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
				Science				
	3	48	100	42.6	34	23.4	57.4	
7	4	89	100	29.5	60.2	10.2	70.5	
2011	5 6	48	100	40.4	48.9	10.6	59.6	
2(N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A 32.7	N/A 63.5	
	3	55	100	36.5	30.8	32.7	63.5	
2	4	94	100	30.2	57	12.8	69.8	
2012	5	48	100	33.3	44.4	22.2	66.7	
2	5 6 7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

I I a mid a are	[] a ma a make m /	0-61
	Elementary	
Homago	Licinonitary	0011001

11/07/12-2301107

Tromage Elementary School									
PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
Social Studies									
	3	48	100	20	42.2	37.8	80		
_	4	89	100	22.7	55.7	21.6	77.3		
2011	5	50	100	26.5	42.9	30.6	73.5		
7(6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3	56	100	35.3	41.2	23.5	64.7		
2	4	94	100	19.8	61.6	18.6	80.2		
2012	5	51	100	48.9	35.6	15.6	51.1		
7	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Writing									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
_	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2011	5	100	100	27.6	51	21.4	72.4		
7(6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2012	5	99	97	28.1	43.8	28.1	71.9		
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		